

Psychological Status during COVID-19 Pandemic on the Patients with Cancer

Kambiz Novin*, MD, Babak Hassanlouei**, PhD, Mahtab Motamed***, MD, Saba Faraji***, MD, Masume Najafi*, MD, Pedram Fadavi*, MD, Mastaneh Sanei*, MD, Atefeh Ghanbari Jolfaei****, MD, Maryam Garousi*, MD

*Department of Radiation Oncology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

**Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

***Department of Psychiatry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

****Minimally Invasive Surgery Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Please cite this article as: Novin K, Hassanlouei B, Motamed M, Faraji S, Najafi M, Fadavi P, et al. Psychological status during COVID-19 pandemic on the patients with cancer. Middle East J Cancer. 2022;13(4):648-56. doi: 10.30476/mejc.2021.89195.1511.

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in December 2019 in China and exhibited as a highly contagious viral infection which led to a high level of mortality and morbidity. It is followed by a great deal of complications, such as serious psychological disorders. There are a few studies evaluating the psychological status of COVID-19 on the patients with cancer in Iran.

Method: This was a cross-sectional study carried out on 94 patients with cancer who referred to Haft-e-Tir hospital for radiotherapy and chemotherapy from 20 April to 15 May, 2020. The data collection tool was the impact of events scale-revised (IES-R).

Results: The prevalence of anxiety disorders and obsessive compulsive disorder based on past psychiatric history in the patients was 11.7% and 2.1%, respectively. The results revealed that age was significantly related to avoidance dimension score ($B = -0.209$, 95% CI: -0.084 to -0.335). Regarding hyper arousal dimension score, the results were as follows: rural residency ($B = 5.091$, 95% CI: 0.610 to 9.573), past psychiatric history (PPH) ($B = 8.312$, 95% CI: 4.314 to 12.310), and radiotherapy ($B = -2.976$, 95% CI: -5.878 to -0.074) had a statistically significant relationship with the hyper arousal dimension score.

Conclusion: The patients with cancer had a severe form of COVID-19. Individuals with cancer who had a previous psychiatric history are more vulnerable to post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms after trauma.

Keywords: Psychology, COVID-19, Pandemics, Cancer

Corresponding Author:

Maryam Garousi, MD
Department of Radiation Oncology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
Tel: +98 21 86709
Fax: +98 21 88052248
Email: mgarousi5@gmail.com

Introduction

Human corona viruses, known to be the origin of common cold-like

diseases for a long time, have been recently considered as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and the status of the respondents

| Variable | N (%) | Variable | N (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Gender | | | |
| Female | 66 (70.2) | PPH | No 73 (77.7) |
| Male | 28 (29.8) | | Yes 21 (22.3) |
| Occupation | | | |
| Unemployed | 80 (85.1) | Place of residence | Urban 85 (90.4) |
| Employed | 14 (14.9) | | Rural 9 (9.6) |
| Marital status | | | |
| Single | 8 (8.5) | Family history of COVID-19 | No 90 (95.7) |
| Married | 68 (72.3) | | Yes 4 (4.3) |
| Divorced, Widowed | 18 (19.1) | Primary site | Gastrointestinal 20 (21.3) |
| Education | | | |
| Illiterate | 10 (10.6) | | Breast 61(64.9) |
| Under diploma | 38 (40.4) | | Head and Neck 4 (4.3) |
| Diploma | 34 (36.2) | | Gynecology 5 (5.3) |
| Bachelor | 10(10.6) | | Genitourinary 4(4.3) |
| Master | (1.1) | OCD | No 92 (97.9) |
| PhD | (1.1) | | Yes 2 (2.1) |
| Treat type | | | |
| Chemotherapy | 57 (60.6) | Mets | No 76 (80.9) |
| Radiotherapy | 32 (34.0) | | Yes 18(19.1) |
| 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) | 5 (5.3) | Anxieties | No 83 (88.3) |
| | | | Yes 11(11.7) |

N: Frequency; PPH: Past psychiatric history; OCD: Obsessive compulsive disorder; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019

(SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV); it has led to lots of mortality. These two syndromes showed a short-term epidemics and limited geographical distribution.¹ Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in December 2019 in Wuhan China and exhibited as a highly contagious severe multisystem viral infection with a high level of mortality and morbidity and became a pandemic health problem after a few weeks.² COVID-19 could involve multi organs, such as liver, lung, neurological system, and kidney.³⁻⁶ To date, there is no approved medication by FDA to administrate for the treatment of COVID-19 and lots of medications are under research.⁷ COVID-19 can spread fast worldwide, particularly in populous countries.⁸ According to the reported laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths, the highest number of new cases was reported from Iran (94, 500 new cases, 1125 new cases per 1 million).⁹ The outbreak of this virus and increasing number of the affected patients may cause panic of being infected during the pandemic. Some wrong news exacerbates this anxiety and

stressful situation concerning COVID-19.¹⁰

According to the severity of the disease and its impact on daily life, there are certain psychological consequences dealing with COVID-19. Initial studies in China revealed moderate to severe anxiety disorders in one third of respondents. The factors associated with further psychological symptoms were female gender and severe physical symptoms.¹¹ Previous studies have shown a serious psychological impact on individuals and communities.¹¹⁻¹³ Comorbidities have been reported to increase mortality in the patients with SARS and MERS. Having cancer resulted in more severe diseases in these patients and on top of the other risk factors, such as diabetes, co-infections, hypertension, and renal and lung diseases were associated with more intensive care unit (ICU) admission, oxygen therapy, invasive ventilation, or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.^{3,6} This mutual interaction of cancer and COVID-19 necessitates a meticulous assessment of the patients with cancer regarding the physical and mental adverse effects of COVID-19 pandemics.¹⁴

Table 2. Mean, standard deviation, and the minimum and maximum scores of each dimension

| Characteristics | N | Range | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD |
|-----------------|----|-------|---------|---------|-------|------|
| Avoidance | 94 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 15.05 | 5.15 |
| Intrusion | 94 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 10.81 | 5.79 |
| Hyperarousal | 94 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 10.51 | 6.76 |

SD: Standard deviation

One of the tools utilized to assess the psychological status of COVID-19 patients is the impact of events scale-revised (IES-R). Hao et al. investigated the post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) of COVID-19 patients and observed the negative effects of COVID-19 on psychological disorders.¹⁵ Rodríguez-Rey et al. also reported that women and young people were susceptible regarding psychological disorders.¹⁶ There has been no studies on the evaluation of the psychological impact of COVID-19 on the patients with cancer in Iran. In this study, we focused on the psychological well-being in the patients with cancer during the pandemic of COVID-19 in Iranian population.

Methods and Materials

We carried out this cross-sectional study on 94 patients with cancer who referred to Haft-e-Tir hospital for radiotherapy and chemotherapy from April 20 to May 15, 2020. The sampling method was convenience and all the patients in this period (April 20 to May 15, 2020) were recruited. The inclusion criteria were those with confirmed COVID-19 using polymerase chain reaction who had cancer. The exclusion criteria comprised unwillingness to participate in the study and not fulfilling the questionnaire. The data collection tool was the impact of events scale-revised (IES-R). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences (Ethical code: IR.IUMS.REC.1399.720). All the respondents were satisfied with their participation in the research. The checklist consisted of the following: 1) basic demographic characteristics, such as age, gender, marital status, employment status, level of education, and place of residence; 2) past psychiatric history, for instance, mood, anxiety disorder, psychotic disorders, and obsessive-

compulsive disorder (OCD); 3) polymerase chain reaction test in the patients and their relatives; 4) cancer profile consisted of the primary site, presence of metastasis, and type of the treatment. The IESR is a self-administered questionnaire that has been well-validated in the Persian population for determining the extent of psychological impact of COVID-19 during the pandemic.¹⁷ This 22-item questionnaire has three subscales for the measurement of avoidance, intrusion, and hyper arousal. The IESR score was divided into normal impact (0-23), mild impact (24-32), moderate impact (33-36), and severe psychological impact (over 37).¹⁸

Ethical issues

This research was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients. The ethics committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences reviewed and approved the current research under the code IR.IUMS.REC.1399.720 available at <https://ethics.research.ac.ir/ProposalCertificateEn.php?id=159586&Print=true&NoPrintHeader=true&NoPrintFooter=true&NoPrintPageBorder=true&LetterPrint=true>

Statistical analysis

We analyzed the data through SPSS version 20. Descriptive statistics for variables were expressed as frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation. Moreover, chi square test and linear regression were used. *P* value below 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

In this study, 94 patients answered the questionnaire. The mean age of the patients was 47.95±10.77 years (range: 24 to 83 years). Furthermore, the women were the most (70.2%) respondents to the questionnaire. The majority (85.1%) of the patients were unemployed and

Table 3. Correlation between the avoidance score with demographic characteristics

| Variables | β | 95% Confidence Lower Bound | Interval for β Upper Bound | P value |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Age | -0.209 | -0.335 | -0.084 | 0.001 |
| Gender | 4.102 | -0.792 | 8.997 | 0.099 |
| Occupation | -0.801 | -4.189 | 2.587 | 0.639 |
| Marriage | | | | |
| Divorced and widowed | 0.636 | -3.522 | 4.794 | 0.761 |
| Single | 1.148 | -1.692 | 3.987 | 0.423 |
| Married | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Education | 0.765 | -0.725 | 2.256 | 0.310 |
| Accommodation | 2.675 | -1.113 | 6.462 | 0.164 |
| PPH | 3.215 | -0.163 | 6.594 | 0.062 |
| FH of COVID-19 | -2.772 | -8.278 | 2.734 | 0.319 |
| Primary Site | | | | |
| Breast | 3.663 | -1.185 | 8.511 | 0.137 |
| Head and Neck | 4.095 | -1.833 | 0.023 | 0.173 |
| Gynecology | 0.505 | -6.428 | 7.438 | 0.885 |
| Genitourinary | 2.171 | -3.449 | 7.791 | 0.444 |
| Gastrointestinal | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Treat Type | | | | |
| Radiotherapy | -0.350 | -2.802 | 2.103 | 0.777 |
| 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) | 1.364 | -3.440 | 6.169 | 0.573 |
| Chemotherapy | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Mets | 1.054 | -4.085 | 1.977 | 0.491 |
| Anxieties | 0.070 | -4.353 | 4.493 | 0.975 |

PPH: Past psychiatric history; FH: Family history; COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019

their common education was under diploma (40.4%). Breast cancer was found to be the most prevalent cancer among the studied patients (64.9%). Chemotherapy was also the most common treatment (60.6%). Metastasis occurred in 18% of the patients. The prevalence of anxiety disorders and OCD based on past psychiatric history (PPH) in the patients was 11.7% and 2.1%, respectively. Table 1 represents the demographic characteristics of the respondent patients.

We calculated the IES-R score for the patients. Table 2 depicts the description of the mean, standard deviation, range, and the minimum and maximum scores of each dimension for the status of responsive patients. The correlation between the dimensions scores of the questionnaire and demographic characteristics and status of responsive patients was investigated and presented in table 3. Regarding the relationship between the variables and avoidance dimension score, the results showed that age was significantly associated with avoidance dimension score ($B = -0.209$, 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.084 to

- 0.335). Other variables had no significant relationships with the dimension score ($P > 0.05$).

We also examined the relationship between the intrusion dimension score of the questionnaire and the demographic characteristics and status of the responsive patients. Intrusion did not demonstrate any significant relationships with the intrusion dimension score ($P > 0.05$). Table 4 shows the relationship between the intrusion dimension score of the questionnaire (IES-R) and the demographic characteristics and status of the subjects.

In addition, the correlation between the hyper arousal dimension score with demographic characteristics and the status of the responsive patients were examined. The results were as follows: rural residency ($B = 5.091$, 95% CI: 0.610 to 9.573), PPH ($B = 8.312$, 95% CI: 4.314 to 12.310), and radiotherapy ($B = -2.976$, 95% CI: -5.878 to -0.074) had a statistically significant relationship with the hyper arousal dimension score. The relationship between the other variables was not significant ($P > 0.05$). Table 5 illustrates the

Table 4. Correlation between the intrusion score with demographic characteristics

| Variables | β | 95% Confidence Lower Bound | Interval for β Upper Bound | P value |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age | -0.131 | -0.271 | 0.008 | 0.064 |
| Sex | -1.995 | -7.437 | 3.448 | 0.468 |
| Job | 1.525 | -2.242 | 5.293 | 0.422 |
| Marriage | | | | |
| Divorced and widowed | -1.335 | -5.958 | 3.288 | 0.567 |
| Single | 0.450 | -2.707 | 3.607 | 0.777 |
| Married | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Education | -0.558 | -2.215 | 1.099 | 0.505 |
| Accommodation | 2.699 | -1.513 | 6.910 | 0.206 |
| PPH | 5.467 | 1.710 | 9.224 | 0.005 |
| FH of COVID-19 | -1.515 | -7.638 | 4.607 | 0.623 |
| Primary Site | | | | |
| Breast | -1.362 | -6.752 | 4.029 | 0.616 |
| Head and Neck | -2.307 | -8.898 | 4.285 | 0.488 |
| Gynecology | -3.917 | -11.626 | 3.792 | 0.315 |
| Genitourinary | 2.738 | -3.511 | 8.987 | 0.386 |
| Gastrointestinal | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Treat Type | | | | |
| Radiotherapy | -1.898 | -4.625 | 0.829 | 0.170 |
| 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) | 3.394 | -1.948 | 8.736 | 0.210 |
| Chemotherapy | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Met | s-1.738 | -5.108 | 1.632 | 0.308 |
| Anxieties | -1.736 | -6.654 | 3.182 | 0.484 |

PPH: Past psychiatric history; FH: Family history; COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019

relationship between the hyper arousal score and the demographic characteristics and status of the patients.

The correlation between the total score of IES-R with demographic characteristics and the status of the responsive patients was examined. The results were as follows: age ($B = -0.487$, 95 % CI: -0.143 to -0.831) and PPH ($B = 16.994$, 95 % CI: 7.713 to 26.276) had a statistically significant relationship with the total score (Mean \pm SD: 36.37 ± 15.12). Table 6 shows the mean total score of IES-R and its relationship with the demographic characteristics and status of the respondent patients.

Discussion

Morbidity, serious psychological disorders for instance, could occur following COVID-19. In this study, we investigated the psychological well-being status in the patients with cancer during the pandemic of COVID-19 in Iranian population. According to the results, the prevalence of anxiety disorders and obsessive compulsive disorder was

11.7% and 2.1%, respectively. The present study revealed that age was significantly related to avoidance dimension score and also rural residency, PPH and undergoing radiotherapy had a statistically significant relationship with the hyper arousal dimension score. We found that the patients with cancer had a severe form of COVID-19 and the patients with cancer who had a previous psychiatric history were more vulnerable to post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms after a trauma, such as COVID-19.

We believe that after the outbreak of the virus, negative impacts of COVID-19 on societies and people must be observed due to severe consequences.¹⁹ The results of the total score and hyper arousal dimension score in our study were significantly correlated with PPH. Total IES-R score was higher in the psychiatric patients without cancer during COVID-19 pandemic.²⁰ Chinese younger people were more susceptible to generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) and depressive symptom than the elders in general population after the spread of COVID-19.²¹ Our

Table 5. Correlation between the hyperarousal score with demographic characteristics

| Variables | β | 95% Confidence Lower Bound | Interval for β Upper Bound | P value |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age | -0.146 | -0.295 | 0.002 | 0.053 |
| Sex | 0.617 | -5.175 | 6.408 | 0.833 |
| Job | 0.318 | -3.691 | 4.327 | 0.875 |
| Marriage | | | | |
| Divorced and widowed | -1.887 | -6.806 | 3.033 | 0.447 |
| Single | 2.952 | -0.408 | 6.311 | 0.084 |
| Married | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Education | 0.122 | -1.641 | 1.886 | 0.891 |
| Accommodation | 5.091 | 0.610 | 9.573 | 0.027 |
| PPH | 8.312 | 4.314 | 12.31 | 0<0.001 |
| FH of COVID-19 | -2.190 | -8.704 | 4.325 | 0.505 |
| Primary Site | | | | |
| Breast | -0.669 | -6.405 | 5.067 | 0.817 |
| Head and Neck | -2.819 | -9.834 | 4.195 | 0.426 |
| Gynecology | -4.151 | -12.354 | 4.053 | 0.317 |
| Genitourinary | 2.051 | -4.599 | 8.700 | 0.541 |
| Gastrointestinal | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Treat Type | | | | |
| Radiotherapy | -2.976 | -5.878 | -0.074 | 0.045 |
| 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) | 6.160 | 0.475 | 11.844 | 0.034 |
| Chemotherapy | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Mets | -1.579 | -5.165 | 2.007 | 0.383 |
| Anxieties | -2.271 | -7.504 | 2.962 | 0.390 |

PPH: Past psychiatric history; FH: Family history; COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019

study also confirmed the high IES-R score in all the ages and avoidance dimension in younger patients. COVID19 epidemic may impact patients with mental health disorders more than general population, which intensifies the complications with the disease; therefore, early detection and psychological intervention should be considered seriously.²² It has been well established that patients with cancer are more prone to psychological problems, which could be persistence following the treatment in a chronic manner.²³

Garutti et al. described certain probable psychological consequences of COVID-19 on the patients with cancer. These issues were loneliness, fear, oxymoronic thoughts, helplessness, frustrations, and emotional damage. They recommended that these patients should be in touch with doctors and referral team via email or telephone whenever possible since during the pandemic, only particular hospitals admit these patients.²⁴ On the other hand, recent shift to telephone visits and consultations may lead in a

great bias in clinical judgments. Lack of direct medical examinations and differences in communication abilities in patients with various socioeconomic status may result in incorrect medical diagnosis and miss managements.²⁵ Hao et al. showed that PTSD in COVID-19 patients had negative effects on psychological disorders.¹⁵ Rodríguez-Rey et al. also reported that women and young people were susceptible to psychological disorders.¹⁶ These results were consistent with ours ; we also found that women and younger people had severe symptoms.

Very high working load in hospitals may make a delay in non-emergent paraclinical diagnostic procedures and also the patients are reluctant to follow their regular visits. Our study described the psychological impact of the COVID-19 in the patients with cancer in Iran. Overall, mean IESR scores among patients with cancer were over the cut-off score for PTSD symptoms and higher than those in the published literature, which assessed the psychological impact of COVID-19 on general population. To the best of our

Table 6. Correlation between the total score of IES-R with demographic characteristics and the status of respondent patients

| Variables | β | 95% Confidence Lower Bound | Interval for β Upper Bound | P value |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age | -0.487 | -0.143 | -0.831 | 0.006 |
| Sex | 2.724 | -10.723 | 16.171 | 0.688 |
| Job | 1.042 | -8.2651 | 0.350 | 0.824 |
| Marriage | | | | |
| Divorced and widowed | 4.550 | -3.250 | 12.350 | 0.249 |
| Single | -2.586 | -14.00 | 88.836 | 0.653 |
| Married | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Education | 330 | -3.7654.4240.873 | | |
| Accommodation | 10.464 | 0.059 | 20.870 | 0.049 |
| PPH | 16.994 | 7.713 | 26.276 | <0.001 |
| FH of COVID-19 | -6.477 | -21.603 | 8.649 | 0.396 |
| Primary Site | | | | |
| Breast | 1.632 | -11.686 | 14.950 | 0.808 |
| Head and Neck | -1.031 | -17.317 | 15.255 | 0.900 |
| Gynecology | -7.563 | -26.609 | 11.484 | 0.432 |
| Genitourinary | 6.960 | -8.479 | 22.399 | 0.372 |
| Gastrointestinal | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Treat Type | | | | |
| Radiotherapy | -5.224 | -11.961 | 1.514 | 0.127 |
| 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) | 10.918 | -2.280 | 24.117 | 0.104 |
| Chemotherapy | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Mets | -4.370 | -12.696 | 3.956 | 0.299 |
| Anxieties | -3.937 | -16.087 | 8.214 | 0.521 |

PPH: Past psychiatric history; FH: Family history; IES-R: Impact of events scale-revised; COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019

knowledge, there have been no studies assessing cancer patients with IESR during COVID-19 pandemic. A previous study in Singapore found higher IESR score among health care workers during COVID-19 pandemic and another previous study in Singapore reported higher IESR score among physicians and nurses during the SARS pandemic.²⁶

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the patients with cancer have been considered as a vulnerable group. According to our data, individuals with cancer who had a PPH are further vulnerable to PTSD symptoms after trauma and are also more susceptible to a severe form of COVID 19 compared with those without cancer according to a recent study.²⁷ Infections occur more frequently in immunosuppressed status like in the patients with cancer due to malignancy or treatment.²⁸ Studies have shown that these patients were 3.5 times more likely to develop a severe form of COVID-19 than other patient.²⁹ Additionally, patients with cancer may not receive enough anticancer treatments and care because

of the psychological problems, fear of being infected by COVID-19 and the limited number of caregivers in hospitals during COVID-19 epidemic.

Conclusion

The patients with cancer were found to have a severe form of COVID-19 and were 3.5 times more likely to develop severe form of COVID-19 than other people in our study population. The individuals with cancer who had a PPH were more vulnerable to PTSD symptoms after trauma. Our study also confirmed the high IES-R score in the total and avoidance dimension in younger patients with cancer.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by the Vice-chancellor of Research and Technology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (IR.IUMS.REC.1399.720).

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- de Wit E, van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2016;14(8):523-34. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.81.
- Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus infections-more than just the common cold. *JAMA.* 2020;323(8):707-8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.0757.
- Besharat S, Malekpour Alamdari N, Dadashzadeh N, Talaie R, Mousavi SS, Barzegar A, et al. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients with COVID-19 who died in Modarres Hospital. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci.* 2020;8(T1):144-9.
- Rahimi MM, Jahantabi E, Lotfi B, Forouzesh M, Valizadeh R, Farshid S. Renal and liver injury following the treatment of COVID-19 by remdesivir. *J Nephropathol.* 2021;10(2):e10.
- Samimi Ardestani SH, Mohammadi Ardehali M, Rabbani Anari M, Rahmaty B, Erfanian R, Akbari M, et al. The coronavirus disease 2019: the prevalence, prognosis, and recovery from olfactory dysfunction (OD). *Acta Otolaryngol.* 2021;141(2):171-80. doi: 10.1080/00016489.2020.1836397.
- Forouzesh M, Rahimi A, Valizadeh R, Dadashzadeh N, Mirzazadeh A. Clinical display, diagnostics and genetic implication of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Epidemic. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2020;24(8):4607-15. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202004_21047.
- Barzegar A, Ghadipasha M, Rezaei N, Forouzesh M, Valizadeh R. New hope for treatment of respiratory involvement following COVID-19 by bromhexine. *J Nephrofarmacol.* 2021;10(2):e11. doi: 10.34172/npj.2021.11.
- Daneshfar M, Dadashzadeh N, Ahmadvpour M, Ragati Haghi H, Rahmani V, Forouzesh M, et al. Lessons of mortality following COVID-19 epidemic in the United States especially in the geriatrics. *J Nephrofarmacol.* 2021;10(1):e06. doi: 10.34172/npj.2021.06.
- WHO Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Situation Report - 114. Coronavirus. [Internet] World Health Organization website. [Accessed at: Dec 2, 2020]. Available at: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports>
- Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S, Shi J, Lu L. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society. *Lancet.* 2020; 395(10224):e37-8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30309-3.
- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(5):1729. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729.
- Hall RC, Hall RC, Chapman MJ. The 1995 Kikwit Ebola outbreak: lessons hospitals and physicians can apply to future viral epidemics. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2008;30(5):446-52. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2008.05.003.
- Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, Wang C, Wang J, Chen R, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. *Ann Oncol.* 2020;31(7):894-901. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.03.296.
- Kutikov A, Weinberg DS, Edelman MJ, Horwitz EM, Uzzo RG, Fisher RI. A war on two fronts: Cancer care in the time of COVID-19. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;172(11):756-8. doi: 10.7326/M20-1133.
- Hao F, Tan W, Jiang L, Zhang L, Zhao X, Zou Y, et al. Do psychiatric patients experience more psychiatric symptoms during COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown? A case-control study with service and research implications for immunopsychiatry. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020;87:100-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.069.
- Rodríguez-Rey R, Garrido-Hernansaiz H, Collado S. Psychological impact of COVID-19 in Spain: Early data report. *Psychol Trauma.* 2020;12(5):550-2. doi: 10.1037/tra0000943.
- Panaghi L, Mogadam JA. Persian version validation in impact of event Scale-Revised. [In Persian] *Tehran Univ Med J.* 2006;64(3):52-60.
- Creamer M, Bell R, Failla S. Psychometric properties of the impact of event scale-revised. *Behav Res Ther.* 2003;41(12):1489-96. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2003.07.010.
- Duan L, Zhu G. Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2020;7(4):300-2.
- Hao F, Tan W, Jiang L, Zhang L, Zhao X, Zou Y, et al. Do psychiatric patients experience more psychiatric symptoms during COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown? A case-control study with service and research implications for immunopsychiatry. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020;87:100-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.069.
- Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020:112954. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112954.
- Yao H, Chen JH, Xu YF. Patients with mental health disorders in the COVID-19 epidemic. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2020;7(4):e21. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30090-0.
- Stein KD, Syrjala KL, Andrykowski MA. Physical and psychological long-term and late effects of cancer. *Cancer.* 2008;112(11 Suppl):2577-92. doi: 10.1002/encr.23448.
- Garutti M, Cortiula F, Puglisi F. Seven shades of black

- thoughts: COVID-19 and its psychological consequences on cancer patients. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:1357. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.01357.
25. Jones D, Neal RD, Duffy SR, Scott SE, Whitaker KL, Brain K. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the symptomatic diagnosis of cancer: the view from primary care. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21(6):748-50. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30242-4.
 26. Tan BYQ, Chew NWS, Lee GKH, Jing M, Goh Y, Yeo LLL, et al. Psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on health care workers in Singapore. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173(4):317-20. doi: 10.7326/M20-1083.
 27. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10225):689-97.
 28. Kamboj M, Sepkowitz KA. Nosocomial infections in patients with cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10(6):589-97.
 29. Paterson C, Gobel B, Gosselin T, Haylock PJ, Papadopoulou C, Slusser K, et al. Oncology nursing during a pandemic: Critical reflections in the context of COVID-19. *Semin Oncol Nurs.* 2020;36(3):151028. doi: 10.1016/j.soncn.2020.151028.