Clustering of Breast Cancer Cases among Women from Kurdistan Province, Iran: A Population-based Cross-sectional Study
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Abstract

Background: Spatial analysis is one of the required tools of epidemiology and public health sciences. This study intends to detect significant clusters of breast cancer cases in Kurdistan Province, Iran.

Methods: We obtained data that pertained to breast cancer cases during 2005-2014 from the Health Deputy at Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences. After application of spatial scan statistics to detect the purely spatial (aggregation of cases in particular locations of space) and space-time (diseases clusters in space that depend on the time period) clusters, we calculated the population attribution risk (%) values to better distinguish the detected clusters.

Results: We observed that the second secondary purely spatial cluster (P=0.0051) had the highest population attribution risk (%) of 3.8 and the primary space-time unadjusted cluster (P=0.0019) had the lowest population attribution risk (%) of 0.67 of all the detected clusters. Before we applied the adjustment, both the space-time and purely spatial clusters had similar locations. However, after adjustment for age, the space-time clusters location shifted and population attribution risk (%) values changed (between 0.02 and 0.4).

Conclusion: Population attribution risk (%) value differences and clusters’ temporal and spatial variations before and after adjustments can represent disease interventions impact. Additional studies should be conducted to strengthen the registering and reporting system to determine other influencing factors.
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Introduction

Chronic non-communicable diseases, especially cancer, along with the control of communicable diseases have become a worldwide public health concern. According to WHO estimates, cancer will increase to approximately 70% in the next two decades. On the other hand, breast cancer has contributed to 521,000 cases out of 8.2 million cancer-attributed deaths in 2012. In Iran, the breast cancer incidence and mortality age-standardized rate (ASR) is estimated to range from 24.1 to 33.9 and less than 10.1 per 100,000 people respectively in 2012.

Health levels vary between different geographical regions. It is necessary to specify the regions’ differences and determine areas that have an accumulation of health problems for epidemiological research and public health decisions. Modern technologies, such as geographic information systems (GIS) and scan statistics, enable not only disease mapping but also spatial analysis such as spatial clustering and cluster detection in epidemiological research.

Knowledge about disease status is essential in each society to implement cancer prevention and control programs. Spatial methods can adequately cope with this matter and access characteristics of spatiotemporal clusters to give important information that pertains to disease distribution, transmission patterns, disease risk factors, and prevention program evaluation. Various studies have assessed the spatial and spatiotemporal changes of cancer. However, a study to detect significant clusters of breast cancer has not been performed in Kurdistan Province, Iran. Thus, we conducted this study to detect purely spatial and spatiotemporal significant clusters of breast cancer in this province.

Materials and Methods

We obtained breast cancer data from the Health Deputy at Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences from 2005 to 2014. We removed any
duplicate data and excluded male patients from this study. Spatial scan statistics have been implemented with SaTScan software version 9.4 software was used to detect purely spatial and spatiotemporal clusters. In this method, the software imposes a search window into the study area map which, in turn, is placed on the coordinate center obtained from the GIS for each block. The likelihood ratio (LR) is calculated according to the following formula based on Poisson distribution (used in this study):

\[
LR = \left( \frac{c}{E(c)} \right) e^{\left( \frac{C - c}{C - E(c)} \right)^{C - c}}
\]

Where C is the total number of cases, c is the observed number of cases within the window, and E[c] is the covariate adjusted expected number of cases within the window under the null-hypothesis. Note that since the analysis is conditioned on the total number of cases observed, C-E[c] is the expected number of cases outside the window. The software has compared the calculated LR derived from the observed data with its amount calculated based on Monte Carlo simulation, and tested the null hypothesis.

As noted, we used discrete Poisson distribution to detect spatial and spatiotemporal clusters. In each case, the analysis was run once by taking into consideration 50% of the population at risk. We repeated the analysis by taking into consideration 25% of the population at risk to detect smaller clusters. Also, the analysis was repeated for

### Table 1. Distribution of breast cancer cases in Kurdistan Province by city.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Cases (N)</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saqez</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>46.68</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bijar</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divandarreh</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baneh</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49.47</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marivan</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>46.73</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qorveh</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>50.38</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanandaj</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamiaran</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46.94</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>48.99</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Color map of Oliveir F measure. A) Before adjustment for age. B) After adjustment for age.
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unadjusted and adjusted statuses to estimate the effect of age on the detected clusters.

Similar to other cluster detecting methods, the scan statistic can detect more than one cluster in a given study area. It has difficulty in prioritizing the clusters, especially if the distinction is between the statistical and public health significance. Detected clusters are ranked by their LR values and can neglect regions that have moderately high relative risk (RR) but a large population size. On the other hand, the statistical test is not performed for RR. Significant clusters may or may not have a high RR. Unlike RR and despite its importance from the epidemiologic viewpoint, the proper solution is to use population attributable risk (PAR%) because it takes into consideration both the strength of the relationship and the size of the population at risk. In this study, we used the following equation to calculate PAR%:

\[ PAR\% = \frac{P_E (RR_E - 1)}{P_E (RR_E - 1) + 1} \times 100 \]

Where \( P_E \) is the proportion of the total number of the infected population and RR is the proportion of disease related to exposure (obtained from SaTScan software results). On the other hand, another limitation of scan statistics is that the exact boundary of the detected cluster will remain uncertain. Hence, some locations within the detected clusters may not have an elevated risk. This is due to the limited sample size in these regions. This situation will occur when the detected cluster is not circular and a circular scan window has been used. However, even if the circular scan window has not been used, this situation may occur and an increase in sample size to obtain a better estimate is not always possible. Therefore, to deal with this situation, the Oliveira’s F measure implemented in SaTScan software version 9.4 has been used. This measure is only available for purely spatial analysis with Poisson distribution. This measure will be calculated for each unit (city) based on input data and is a value between 0 and 1. When the unit is closer to 1, the data are more likely to be part of the true cluster. Hence, in this study, we have used the results of the Oliveira’s F measure to create a color coded map.

### Results

In this study, after excluding the duplicate and male patient cases, we included 999 (0.95) out of 1050 cases. The city of Sanandaj had the highest number of cases at 538(0.53). Qorveh had the highest mean age of 50.38 years, followed by Sanandaj (50.05 years; Table 1). We observed no significant differences in terms of age among the cities (ANOVA; F=2; \( P=0.0529 \)).

### Spatial analysis

**Purely spatial clusters**

In general, we detected one primary and two

---

**Table 2.** Significant purely spatial clusters with discrete Poisson distribution clusters for higher rates of breast cancer in Kurdistan Province with a maximum search window size of ≤50% and ≤25% of the risk population, adjusted and unadjusted for age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster ID</th>
<th>Center coordinates (km)</th>
<th>Radius (N)</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Expanding</th>
<th>RRb</th>
<th>LLRc</th>
<th>PAR%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary cluster</td>
<td>35.996N-45.848E</td>
<td>101.65</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>165.88</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>53.532</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary clusters</td>
<td>35.229N-47.826E</td>
<td>81.11</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>62.42</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>25.598</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary clusters</td>
<td>34.955N-46.914E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary cluster</td>
<td>35.996N-45.848E</td>
<td>101.65</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>162.02</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>57.311</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary clusters</td>
<td>35.229N-47.826E</td>
<td>81.11</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary clusters</td>
<td>34.955N-46.914E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Expected number of cases in clusters; b: Relative risk of clusters; c: Log likelihood ratio; PAR: Population attribution risk
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secondary clusters in the study areas. The primary cluster with the center in Saqez and 101.56 km radius comprised the cities of Saqez, Baneh, Divandarreh, and Marivan (Table 1, Figure 1a). We noted two secondary clusters in Qorveh and Bijar and Kamiaran. Table 1 and Figure 1a show the characteristics of these clusters. As shown in Table 1, the second of the secondary clusters had the highest PAR% (3.8%) among all of the purely spatial and space-time clusters. Clusters detected with regards to 50% and 25% at risk population were in the same geographical areas. On the other hand, without adjustments for age, the locations of the clusters did not change. Instead, the number of observed and expected cases, LR, and cluster PAR% changed such that the PAR% values changed between 0.1 to 0.2 compared to the corresponding adjusted clusters (Table 1, Figure 1a).

Table 3. Significant space-time clusters with discrete Poisson distribution clusters for higher rates of breast cancer in Kurdistan Province with a maximum search window size of ≤50% and ≤25% of the risk population, adjusted and unadjusted for age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster ID</th>
<th>Center coordinates</th>
<th>Radius (km)</th>
<th>Cases (N)</th>
<th>Expa</th>
<th>RRb</th>
<th>LLRc</th>
<th>PAR%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>Primary cluster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.944N-47.646E</td>
<td>81.11</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28.78</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>26.737</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary clusters</td>
<td>35.572N-46.354E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>34.53</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>10.476</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34.955N-46.914E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>9.279</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Primary cluster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.996N-45.848E</td>
<td>101.65</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>92.61</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>28.579</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary clusters</td>
<td>35.2293N-47.826E</td>
<td>81.11</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23.83</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>18.026</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34.955N-46.914E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>9.389</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Expected number of cases in clusters; b: Relative risk of clusters; c: Log likelihood ratio; PAR: Population attribution risk

Space-time clusters

In this case, we detected a primary cluster and two secondary clusters in the study areas. The primary cluster consisted of Bijar, Divandarreh, and Qorveh cities from 2010 to 2014 (Table 3, Figure 1b). Each of the two secondary clusters consisted of Marivan and Kamiaran during 2009 to 2013 and 2006 to 2010 (Table 3, Figure 1b). Before and after adjustment the second secondary clusters have had the highest PAR% at 2.15 and 2.13, respectively. The detected clusters for 50% and 25% at risk population were in the same geographical areas. Without taking into consideration adjustments for age, we observed that the cluster locations were similar to the purely spatial clusters. The PAR% values changed from 0.02 to 0.4 along with the changes in cluster characteristics. However, the time period of the first secondary cluster shifted from 2009-2013 to 2010-2014 (Table 3, Figure 1a).

Discussion

The results of current study revealed the presence of some significant clusters in Kurdistan Province. We have observed that a secondary purely spatial cluster located in Kamiaran had the highest PAR% (3.8%) among all of the detected clusters (Tables 2, 3). A cluster with a high PAR% may reflect the relative similarity of affecting factors on the occurrence of breast cancer in cluster areas and their differences with other areas. Thus, it may be possible to prevent a certain percentage of breast cancers according to PAR% values by simulating the regions in terms of these affecting factors. We have observed that the primary unadjusted space-time cluster composed of Saqez, Baneh, Divandarreh, and Marivan had the lowest PAR% (0.67) among all of the detected clusters (Table 3). Hence, it could be argued that the occurrence of cancer could be prevented by
simulating the cluster areas to each other with regards to PAR% values.

Changes to the in space-time cluster location after adjustment for age can represent the necessity of considering the other influencing factors. Furthermore, the minimal changes in detected cluster PAR% before and after considering adjustments for age can reflect that a small percentage of breast cancer changes in Kurdistan Province have been explained by the age variable. This statement may be verified by the non-significant age differences (ANOVA). However, observed minimal changes in PAR% values and shifting the cluster locations can be attributed to possible changes in the age at disease diagnosis or other influencing factors that considered in this study. Another key result of the current study was that the primary space-time cluster PAR% values after adjustment for age were greater than PAR% values before adjustment. This could be interpreted that after successfully addressing adjustments for the effect of age, we were left with a core of cases in which other affecting factors played major roles. The Olivier-F measure values for significant purely spatial suggested the true high possibility of the cluster units. We compared the current study with other studies that combined the two approaches of scan statistics and PAR%. Yuhui et al.13 and Yiannakoulas14 reported on the performance and benefits of this combination. On the other hand, we compared the current study with the studies that have only applied the scan statistic, among which Kavousi et al.15 conducted a study to detect the high rates of clusters of stomach cancer. Space-time scan statistics detected some significant clusters in the Northern, Northwestern, and Central provinces of the country. For comparison with other abroad studies can also cite to Nordsborg et al.16 that in early analysis a cluster was detected in northern margin of Copenhagen, however can cite to other conducted studies.17, 18

Limitations of the current study included the lack of access to other influencing factors to better explain the detected clusters. Because in various studies19, 20 have shown that adjustments for influencing factors or covariates, led to changes in the clusters’ temporal and spatial characteristics, which supported the current study findings. Another limitation was probably the incorrect registration of breast cancer cases for Sarvabad and Dehgolan, so that during the study period, these cities did not register any cases. A probable explanation can be the recent separation of these areas into two separate cities in Kurdistan Province. Another limitation of this study was the lack of access to breast cancer grade information from 2010 to 2014 to better understand the epidemiology of breast cancer. Hence, additional epidemiological studies would be needed to better understand the epidemiology of breast cancer in Kurdistan Province.

Strengths of this study included the use of Olivier-F to measure PAR% to better distinguish the clusters and their locations.

Finally, according to accuracy in reporting the results and various implications of PAR%, the current study could be a framework to design more appropriate studies and evaluate applied public health interventions in the detected clusters areas with high PAR%. From a practical standpoint, PAR% is more reliable than RR.

**Conclusion**

The current study detected some high rate significant clusters. The PAR% value differences and cluster temporal/spatial variation can be a marker for disease intervention. Additional epidemiological studies are necessary to strengthen the registering and reporting system, as well as achieve other influencing factors.
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