Middle East Journal of Cancer; April 2020; 11(2): 127-139

Genetic Polymorphisms of Glutathione S-Transferase and Risk of Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Case-Control Study and Meta-Analysis

Oum Kaltoum Ait Boujmia**, Sellama Nadifi*, Hind Dehbi*, Yaya Kassogue*, Mouna Lamchahab**, Asma Quessar**

*Laboratory of Genetics and Molecular Pathology, Medical School, University Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco **Department of Onco-Hematology, Ibn Rochd University Hospital, Casablanca, Morocco

Abstract

Background: Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a complex disease that is linked to genetic and environmental factors. The gluthatione S-transferase (GST) is a family of enzymes that play a crucial role in the detoxification of carcinogens. These compounds could cause DNA damage, which might lead to the development of cancer. Interindividual inherited differences caused by the presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in detoxification enzyme, could play a major role in cancer predisposition. The present study aimed to investigate the association between *GST* gene polymorphisms and AML risk.

Methods: The *GSTP1* genotype was determined by the PCR-RFLP and multiplex PCR for *GSTT1* and *GSTM1*. Meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the association between *GST* gene and the risk of AML.

Results: We found that *GSTT1* null genotype was significantly associated with the risk of AML. However, *GSTM1* and *GSTP1* polymorphisms did not influence the AML risk. Subjects carrying the *GSTM1* Present, *GSTT1* null and *GSTP1* Ile / Val et Val /Val genotypes had a higher risk of developing AML. The results of meta-analysis showed a positive association between *GSTM1* null, *GSTT1* null and Ile105Val *GSTP1* polymorphisms and AML risk in East Asians, Caucasians, and mixed populations, respectively.

Conclusion: *GST* gene polymorphisms may be risk factors for acute myeloid leukemia.

Keywords: GSTP1, *GSTT1*, *GSTM1*, PCR-RFLP, Polymorphism, Acute myeloid leukemia, Susceptibility

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML), the second most prevalent type of leukemia in Morocco, is a very heterogeneous group of disorders characterized by the accumulation of immature white blood cells (blasts) in the bone marrow, leading to the

*Corresponding Author: Oum Kaltoum Ait Boujmia,

PhD Student Genetics and Molecular Pathology Laboratory, Medical School of Casablanca, 19 Rue Tarik IbnouZiad, BP. 9154 Casablanca, Morocco Tel: +212665920152 Email: kaltoum.biologie@gmail.com



		Cases (N=192)	Controls (N=210)	Р
Sex N (%)				
	Female	98 (51.0)	122 (58.1)	
	Male	94 (49.0)	88(41.9)	0.66
M:F ratio		0.95	0.72	
Age years (Median±SD)		38±15.09	36±13.19	0.39
	Range	18-78	18-77	
AB classification N				
(%)		165		
	M0	7 (3.6)		
	M1	36 (18.8)		
	M2	55(28.6)		
	M3	11(5.7)		
	M4	28(14.6)		
	M5	16 (8.3)		
	M6	10 (5.2)		
	M7	2 (1.0)		
	Not classified	27 (14.1)		
Aedian blasts (%)		83%		
	Range	(22-100)		
/Iedian WBC (G/L)	0	19.20		
	Range	(0.28-787.0)		
Median platelets (G/L)	0	36		
	Range	(1-367)		
Median hemoglobin (g/dl)	0	7.15		
	Range	(2.50-12.80)		
Karyotype N (%)	0			
	Normal	83 (43.2)		
	Abnormal	97 (50.5)		
	Not done	12(6.3)		

inhibition of normal hematopoiesis process, resulting in anemia, granulocytopenia, and thrombocytopenia. The usual symptoms of AML include fatigue, frequent infections, bleeding, and fever. It is known that the clinical, diagnostic, and therapeutic levels of AML have significantly evolved over the recent years. However, the etiology of this cancer remains obscure. As most cancers, AML is multifactorial caused by the interaction between environmental and genetic factors, such as exposition to carcinogens (benzene, cytotoxic chemotherapy, and ionizing radiation) and individual impaired genetic variation in the ability to eliminate xenobiotics, leading to the development of cancer.⁴⁻⁷ Therefore, the human body has many enzymes involved in the detoxification of carcinogens such as cytochrome P450 and gluthatione S-transferase (GST). GST is a family of phase II detoxification enzymes that catalyse the conjugation of reduced glutathione (GSH) to a large variety of toxic xenobiotics. Several studies have demonstrated that the GST enzyme activity is reduced in individuals with homozygous deletions or mutations of GST gene. Indeed, the low expression of these enzymes results in diminished ability to eliminate carcinogens and an increased risk of cancer development.^{10, 11} The most explored members of this family are GSTT1, GSTM1 and GSTP1. The GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes are mapped to chromosomes 22q11.23 and 1p13.3, respectively; moreover, the genetic polymorphisms of GSTT1 and GSTM1 result in the complete absence of the gene (null allele).¹² Many studies have reported a positive association between the GSTT1 and GSTM1 null genotypes and risk of

Genotype	Patients N (%)	Controls N (%)	OR (95% CI)	Р
GSTM1				
Present	101 (52.6)	105 (50.0)	Ref.	
Null	91(47.4)	105(50.0)	0.9 (0.60-1.33)	0.60
GSTT1				
Present	119 (62.0)	163 (77.6)	Ref.	
Null	73 (38.0)	47 (22.4)	2.12 (1.37-3.29)	0.0007
GSTP1				
Ile/Ile	71(37.0)	93 (44.3)	Ref.	
Ile/Val	101(52.6)	96 (45.7)	1.37 (0.90-2.09)	0.13
Val/Val	20 (10.4)	21 (10.0)	1.24 (0.62-2.47)	0.52
Ile/Val & Val/Val	121(63.0)	117 (55.7)	1.35 (0.90-2.02)	0.13

Table 2. Allelic and genotypic distribution of GSTM1, GSTT1 and Ile105Val GSTP1 polymorphisms among AML patients and controls

prostate, lung, and head and neck cancers.¹³⁻¹⁵

The GSTP1 gene is located on chromosome 11q13,¹⁶ and is overexpressed in many cancers, including esophageal, stomach, pancreatic, colon, brain, cervical, rectal, testicular cancers, and leukemia,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ hence considered as a tumor biomarker.²⁰ Two polymorphisms have been identified in the GSTP1 active site region: a C to T substitution causing alanine 114 to valine transition, and an A to G substitution generating isoleucine 105 to valine transition; it has further been reported that homozygous individuals for the mutant allele have less GSTP1 enzyme activity compared to those who are of heterozygous or homozygous wild-type.²¹ The decrease in the detoxification ability of GST gene for carcinogens linked to genetic variation might play a crucial role in the leukemogenesis of AML. Accordingly, the aim of the current study was to investigate the relationship between GST polymorphisms and susceptibility to AML in a Moroccan population.

Patients and Methods

This case-control study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Hassan II University, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Casablanca, Morocco, N°02/19. A written informed consent was obtained from all participants before entering the study. The study population consisted of 192 patients diagnosed with AML and 210 healthy controls without any history of cancer. The patients were classified according to the World Health

Organization 2008 (WHO) classification system. Subjects were recruited from the department of Onco-Hematology of the Ibn Rochd University Hospital in Casablanca, Morocco from May 2014 to February 2017. Demographic and biological data were obtained from the medical record file of each patient. Control subjects were recruited during the same period, comprising students from the faculty of medicine and other volunteers working at the same faculty or in the Ibn Rochd University Hospital. Four milliliters of peripheral blood were collected in an EDTA tube.

DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from white blood cells using salting-out method.²² DNA was quantified using Nanovue Plus spectrophotometer. The *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* genotypes were determined using multiplex polymerase reaction, where the *BCL2* gene was used as an internal control. Forward and reverse primers as well as detailed technical aspects have been previously described by Boujmia et al.²³

The *GSTP1* Ile 105 Val genotype analysis was determined by using polymerase chain reactionrestriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method as previously described by Harries et al. The forward and reverse primers used were: 5'-ACC-CCA-GGG-CTC-TAT-GGG-AA-3' and 5'-TGA-GGG-CAC-AAG-AAG-CCC-CT-3'. The reaction mixture was composed of 100 ng of genomic DNA, 1X of 5X GoTaq Flexi Buffer (Promega), 1.5 mM of MgCl2, 0.2

Table 3. Com	Table 3. Combination effect of GSTs polymorphisms and AML risk								
			Patients	Controls					
GSTT1	GSTM1	GSTP1	N (%)	N (%)	OR(95% CI)	Р			
Present	Present	Ile/Ile	23(12.0)	48(23.0)	Ref.				
Present	Present	Ile/Val & Val/Va	al 36(18.7)	41(20.0)	1.83 (0.94-3.57)	0.076			
Present	Null	Ile/Ile	22(11.4)	24(11.0)	1.91 (0.89-4.10)	0.095			
Present	Null	Ile/Val & Val/Va	al 38(20.0)	50(24.0)	1.58 (0.82-3.04)	0.165			
Null	Present	Ile/Ile	15(7.8)	7(3.0)	4.47(1.60-12.47)	0.004			
Null	Present	Ile/Val & Val/Va	al 27(14.0)	9(4.0)	6.26(2.53-15.45)	0.0001			
Null	Null	Ile/Ile	11(5.7)	14(7.0)	1.63(0,64-4,16)	0.29			
Null	Null	Ile/Val & Val/Va	al 20(10.4)	17(8.0)	2.45 (1.08- 5.54)	0.03			

mM deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate, 10 pM of each primer and 0.5 U of GoTag polymerase (Promega) completed to 25 µL with molecular grade water. The samples were amplified using a thermal cycler with an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 57°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were cleaved with restriction enzyme BsmA I at 55°C for 1 hour. The digested PCR products were resolved on a 3% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. The presence of a single fragment of 176 pb indicated the wild-type genotype (Ile/Ile), while the 85 and 91 pb fragments indicated the homozygous polymorphic genotype (Val/Val); heterozygote was detected by the presence of all three fragments.

Meta-Analysis

Search strategy

Published studies were identified by searching in PubMed and Google Scholar before August 2017, using the following keywords: *GSTP1*, *GSTT1*, *GSTM1* polymorphism, acute myeloid leukemia or AML, and susceptibility.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were 1) case-control studies investigating the association between the 105 *GSTP1*, *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* polymorphisms and AML risk, and 2) studies with sufficient data to calculate allele frequencies, odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of patients and controls. Studies and review articles that were not case-control, duplicate publications, and studies with insufficient data were excluded from

the meta-analysis.

Genetic model

For the *GSTP1*gene polymorphism, the metaanalysis was conducted under the allele contrast model (Val versus Ile) and the subgroup analysis was performed according to the ethnicity.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by the statistical package SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences between patients and controls were assessed by Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables. Difference in the distribution of genotypes between these groups was assessed by chi-squared test (X2) or Fisher's exact test. Crude odds ratios (OR) with confidence interval (CI) at 95% was calculated to evaluate the association between *GST* gene polymorphisms and AML risk. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested by X2 analysis and the meta-analysis was performed by MedCalc Statistical Software version 11.6.1.0.

Results

The current study enrolled 192 cases of AML and 210 healthy controls. Among the patients, 94 (49.0%) were males and 98 (51.0%) were females, with a median age of 38 ± 15.09 years at diagnosis. Among controls, 122 (58.1%) were females and 88 (41.9%) were males, whose median age was 34.2 ± 12.51 years. The *GSTP1* polymorphism did not deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in cases and controls (*P* were 0.07 and 0.6, respectively). Demographic, clinical and biological characteristics of AML cases and the controls are summarized in table

		GSTP1		Р	G	STT1	Р	GSTM	1	Р
	Ν	Ile/Ile	Ile/Val+Val/Val		Prese	nt Null		Present	Null	
Age	192									
≤35	87	38	49	0.045	54	33	0.610	50	37.	0.249
36-60	93	32	61		56	37		47	46	
>60	12	1	11		9	3		4	8	
Sex	192									
Woman	98	35	63	0.710	64	34	0.332	53	45	0.675
Man	94	36	58		55	39		48	46	
FAB classifica	ation									
M0- M1	43	1	31	0.776	29	14	0.298	29	14	0.406
M2	55	22	33		29	26		29	26	
M3	11	3	8		9	2		5	6	
M4	28	9	19		14	14		14	14	
M5	16	7	9		11	5		7	9	
M6-M7	12	4	8		7	5		5	7	
Karyotype	180									
Normal	83	34	49	0.268	52	31	0.801	49	34	0.090
Abnormal	97	32	65		59	38		45	52	

Table 4. Genotypic frequencies of *GSTM1*, *GSTT1* and Ile105Val *GSTP1* polymorphisms in patients with AML according to clinical parameters

1. There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of sex (P=0.66) and age (P=0.39). M2 was observed to be the most common subtype (28.6%) followed by M1 subtype (18.8%) and LAM4 (14.1%), while the unclassified cases represented 14.1% according to the French-American-British (FAB) classification.

As shown in table 2, the distribution of the *GSTM1* null genotype was comparable between AML patients (47.4%) and controls (50.0%). Interestingly, the frequency of *GSTT1* null genotype was significantly higher among AML cases (38.0%) compared with the controls (22.4%), with a 2.12-fold increase in individuals with the *GSTT1* null genotype compared with the *GSTT1* present (95% CI: 1.37-3.29, *P*=0.0007).

The genotype distribution of *GSTP1* gene is shown in table 2. No statistically significant differences in allele and genotype frequencies were found between AML patients and controls (P > 0.05). In the cases, 71 (37.0%) were homozygous for the wild type (Ile/Ile), 101 (52.6%) were heterozygous, and 20 (10.4%) were homozygous for Val/ Val. The distribution and frequencies of the *GSTP1* in controls were 93 (44.3) Ile/Ile, 96(45.7) Ile/ Val, and 21 (10.0) Val/Val. Compared with the subjects having a wild genotype (Ile/Ile), the odds ratios for (Ile/Val), (Val/Val) and dominant model were (1.37, 95% CI 0.90–2.09, P=0.13), (1.24, 95% CI 0.62-2.47, P=0.52) and (1.35, 95% CI 0.90-2.02, P=0.13), which showed no statistically significant association either.

Table 3 examines the risk associated with the combinations of the three genotypes GSTT1, GSTM1, and GSTP1. The reference group consisted of subjects carrying the wild-type genotypes of these three polymorphisms. The presence of at least one mutant genotype was associated with an increased risk of AML; a strong significant association was found between the combined genotypes GSTT1 null, GSTM1 present and GSTP1 (Ile/Val & Val/Val) (OR: 6.26, 95% CI: 2.53-15.45, P=0.0001), and AML risk. Furthermore, the interaction between the null GSTM1, null GSTT1 and GSTP1 (Ile/Val&Val/Va) polymorphisms was found to be associated with an increased AML risk (OR 2.45, CI: 1.08-5.54, P = 0.03).

To determine whether the GST polymorphisms modulate the severity of the AML, the associations between the genotype and clinical features were further examined. No significant correlations were

	Cases	Controls.	Odds ratio	95% CI	
<u> </u>	(events/total)	(events/total)			
Caucasians					Meta-analysis
Rollinson et al. 2000 ³³		537/1646	1	0.843 to 1.186	Rollinson et al.2000
Allan et al. 2001 ²⁷	345/1006	658/2030	1.088	0.927 to 1.277	Allan et al.2001
Voso et al. 200747	91/310	76/314	1.301	0.912 to 1.857	Voso et al.2007
Banescu et al. 2016 ³¹	69/204	108/606	2.357	1.649 to 3.368	Banescu et al.2015
Subtotal	Q=19.01	I2=84.22			Total (fixed effects)
Heterogeneity	df= 3	Z=2.44	1.14	1.027 to 1.268	Total (random effects)
	(<i>P</i> =0.0003)	(<i>P</i> =0.014)			0,1 1 Odds ratio
East Asians					Yuan et al.2003
Yuan et al. 200348	14/80	29/170	1.031	0.511 to 2.080	Kim et al.2012
Kim et al. 2012 ⁴¹	146/812	680/3392	0.874	0.717 to 1.066	Zhou et al.2013 -
Zhou et al. 201342	121/326	144/406	1.074	0.793 to 1.454	Zi et al 2014 -
Zi et al. 2014 ⁴³	161/412	172/462	1.081	0.823 to 1.422	Total (fixed effects)
Subtotal	Q=2,13	I2=00 %			Total (random effects)
Heterogeneity	df=3	Z = 0.42			0,1 1
	(P=0.54)	(<i>P</i> =0.67)	0.971	0.845 to 1.115	Odds ratio
Mixed	(1 000 1)	(1 0007)	00772	0101010101110	Chauhan et al.2011
Chauhan et al. 2011^{28}	70/240	70/404	1.965	0.404 to 1.167	Chauhan et al.2012
Chauhan et al. 2012^{29}	75/262	75/398	1.727	0.492 to 1.434	Dunne et al 2012 -
Dunna et al. 2013 ⁵⁹	118/286	118/496	2.25	2.258 to 5.884	Total (fixed effects)
Subtotal	Q=1.16	I2=00 %	2.23	2.250 10 5.004	Total (random effects)
Heterogeneity	df = 2	Z = 6.72			1 Odds ratio
meterogeneny	(P=0.55)	(P<0.001)	2	1.634 to 2.446	Nasret al 2015
Africans	(r - 0.55)	(1~0.001)	2	1.034 10 2.440	Boujmia et al.2018 🚽
Alficans Nasr et al. 2016 ⁴⁵	42/100	28/100	1.862	1.032 to 3.360	
	42/100	138/420	1.802	1.032 10 3.300	Total (fixed effects)
Boujmia et al. 2020			1 100	0.007 += 1.500	Total (random effects)
Subtotal	Q=1.80	I2=44.70 %	1.186	0.887 to 1.586	
Heterogeneity	df=1	Z=1.953	1.005	0.000 / 1.001	0,1 1 10
	(<i>P</i> = 0.178)	(<i>P</i> =0.051)	1,296	0.999 to 1.681	Odds ratio
Total (fixed effects)	1701/5366	2908/10844	1.136	1.055 to 1.222	
(random effects)		2908/10844	1.130	1.035 to 1.222	
(random effects)	1/01/5300	2908/10844	1.232	1.045 10 1.455	

 Table 5. Pooled analysis of studies investigating the association between Ile105Val GSTP1 polymorphisms and AML risk under the allele contrast

Test for heterogeneity: Q =51.86; DF =12; *I*2 = 76.86 % *P* < 0.0001 Z= 3,389 (*P* =0.001).

observed with gender, FAB subtype, and cytogenetic (P>0.05). However, the *GSTP1* genotype frequencies between age-classified patients were different (P=0.045) (Table 4).

For the *GSTP1* meta-analysis, we included a total of 13 studies with 2644 cases of AML and 5398 controls, which explored the relationship between *GSTP1* Ile105Val polymorphism and AML risk. The association between *GSTP1* Ile105Val polymorphism and AML under the allele contrast model among the 13 studies is shown in table 5, where a high level of between-study heterogeneity is observed in the overall meta-analysis. To explain the source of this

heterogeneity, the subgroup analysis was performed.

The subgroup analysis based on ethnicity showed a significant association between the *GSTP1* Ile105Val polymorphism and AML risk in the mixed population (OR=2.25, 95% CI=2.26 to 5.88, P<0.001). The funnel plots did not provide any evidence of publication bias.

Regarding the meta-analysis of *GSTT1*, 28 studies with a total of 4951 patients and 8126 controls were included. As shown in table 6, the statistical heterogeneity was higher among the 27 studies, hence the subgroup analysis. The ethnicity subgroup showed that *GSTT1* was

Table 6. Pooled analysis of studies			
	Cases (events/total)	Controls (events/total)	Odds ratio 95% CI
Caucasians	(events/total)	(events/total)	
Allan et al. 2001^{27}	98/506	140/1019	1.508 1.136 to 2.003
Aydin-Sayitoglu et al. 2006 ⁴⁸	21/94	29/140	1.101 0.584 to 2.077
Bolufer et al. 2007 ⁴⁹	74/289	61/455	2.223 1.524 to 3.243
D'Alo et al. 2004^7	56/193	52/273	1.737 1.126 to 2.680
Eyada et al. 2007^{50}	9//19	5//11	1.08 0.243 to 4.791
Gra et al.2008 ⁵⁴	21/71	94/490	1.769 1.014 to 3.089
Haase et al. 2002^{52}	49/213	38/239	1.58 0.987 to 2.532
Muller al. 2008 ³⁴	25/136	44/217	0.886 0.513 to 1.528
Ouerhani et al. 2011^{38}	14/47	88/309	1.065 0.544 to 2.087
Rollinson et al. 2000 ³³	89/475	125/826	1.293 0.959 to 1.743
Banescu et al. 2016^{31}	24/102	63/303	1.172 0.686 to 2.002
Subtotal	Q=12.26	I2=18.48%	
Subtotal	Q=12.20	12-10.40 /0	1.45 1.273_1.66
Heterogeneity	df= 10	Z=1.273	
	(<i>P</i> =0.26)	(<i>P</i> <0.001)	
East Asians	```	. ,	
Kim et al. 2012 ⁴¹	197/411	859/1700	1.139 0.915 to 1.417
Naoe et al. 2000 ⁵⁴	214/398	81/150	0.784 0.539 to 1.141
Sasai et al. 1999 ⁵⁵	21/38	13/36	2.186 0.859 to 5.559
Yang et al. 2005 ³⁷	110/228	108/241	1.148 0.798 to 1.651
Zhou et al. 2013^{42}	61/163	55/204	1.62 1.040 to 2.523
Zou et al. 2004 ⁵⁶	14/25	89/183	1.344 0.580 to 3.118
Zi et al. 2014 ⁴³	73/206	55/231	1.756 1.158 to 2.663
Subtotal	Q=11.86	I2=49.41%	1.2 1.043 1.38
Heterogeneity	df= 6	Z= 2.54	
	(<i>P</i> =0.065)	(<i>P</i> =0.011)	
Mixed	· · · ·	· · · ·	
Arruda et al. 2001 ⁵⁷	13/38	44/276	2.742 1.303 to 5.768
Chauhan et al. 2011^{28}	26/120	58/202	0.687 0.404 to 1.167
Chauhan et al. 2012^{29}	27/131	47/199	0.84 0.492 to 1.434
Crump et al. 2000 ⁵⁸	48/297	26/152	0.934 0.554 to 1.576
Dunna et al. 2013 ⁵⁹	57/142	39/251	3.645 2.258 to 5.884
Majumdar et al. 2008 ³⁹	16/110	11/137	1.95 0.865 to 4.395
Subtotal	Q=31.87	I2=84.31	1.38 1.100 1.735
Heterogeneity	df= 5	Z= 2.77	
	(<i>P</i> <0.001)	(<i>P</i> =0.005)	
Africans	((
Nasr et al. 2016 ⁴⁵	30/50	4//50	17.25 5.365 to 55.464
Boujmia et al. 2016^{23}	52/129	25/129	2.809 1.604 to 4.922
Swellam et al. 2017^{35}	48/88	24/90	3.3 1.761 to 6.184
Boujmia et al. 2020	73/192	47/210	2.127 1.375 to 3.291
Subtotal	Q=11.12	I2=73.02 %	
Heterogeneity	df= 3	Z=7.47	
	(P = 0.0111)	(P<0.001)	2.98 2.238 to 3.968
Total (final offects)	1560/4011	1214/0711	1 441 1 202 4- 1 5/9
Total (fixed effects)	1560/4911	2324/8723	1.441 1.323 to 1.568
(random effects) Test for heterogeneity: Q =51.86; DF =12; 72	1560/4911	2324/8723	1.441 1.292 to 1.828

associated with AML in Caucasians (1.45, 95%CI 1.27–1.66, *P*<0,001), and the funnel plot showed

no evidence of publication bias.

30 case-control studies comprised of 5400

	Cases	etween <i>GSTM1</i> polymorphisms and A Controls Odds ratio		95% CI	
	(events/total)	(events/total)			
Caucasians					
Allan et al. 2001 ²⁷	278/506	496/1019	1.286	1.038 to 1.592	
Aydin-Sayitoglu et al. 200648	64/94	77/140	1.745	1.010 to 3.016	
Bolufer et al. 200749	143/295	232/451	0.888	0.662 to 1.191	
D'Alo et al. 2004 ⁷	82/193	128/273	0.837	0.577 to 1.213	
Gra et al. 2008 ⁵⁴	28/71	238/490	0.689	0.415 to 1.146	
Haase et al. 2002 ⁵²	106/213	122/239	0.95	0.657 to 1.375	
Lemos et al. 1999 ³⁴	10//18	74/128	0.912	0.338 to 2.464	
Muller et al. 2008 ⁵³	70/136	119/217	0.873	0.568 to 1.342	
Ouerhani et al. 2011 ³⁸	23/47	163/309	0.858	0.465 to 1.586	
Rollinson et al. 2000 ³³	258/475	407/826	1.224	0.976 to 1.535	
Seedhouse et al. 2004 ³⁴	120/242	78/177	1.248	0.846 to 1.843	
Banescu et al. 2016 ³¹	59/102	178/303	0.964	0.611 to 1.518	
Subtotal	Q=16.01	I2=31.33			
Heterogeneity	df= 11	Z=1.385	1.075	0.970 1.190	
	(P=0.14)	(<i>P</i> =0.166)	1070		
East Asians	(1 001)	(1 01100)			
Kim et al. 2012^{41}	230/398	923/1700	1.152	0.924 to 1.437	
Naoe et al. 2000^{54}	227/411	77/150	1.17	0.804 to 1.701	
Sasai et al. 1999^{55}	21/38	23/36	0.698	0.275 to 1.776	
Yang et al. 2005 ³⁷	142/228	127/241	1.482	1.025 to 2.142	
Zhou et al. 2003^{42}	86/163	97/204	1.482	0.816 to 1.861	
Zou et al. 2004 ⁵⁶	17/25	99/183	1.232	0.741 to 4.387	
Zi et al. 2014^{43}	114/206	107/231	1.803		
Subtotal		I2=0.00 %	1.430	0.985 to 2.094	
	Q=4,13 df= 6	Z=3.04	1.24		
Heterogeneity	(P=0.65)		1.24		
Mixed	(1-0.03)	(<i>P</i> =0.002)			
Arruda et al. 2001 ⁵⁷	28/38	102/276	4.776	2.229 to 10.237	
		102/276			
Bhatla et al. 2008^{60}	218/461	299/639	1.02	0.803 to 1.297	
Chauhan et al. 2011^{28}	45/120	97/202	0.649	0.409 to 1.030	
Chauhan et al. 2012^{29}	50/131	95/199	0.676	0.431 to 1.059	
Crump et al. 2000 ⁵⁸	159/297	75/152	1.183	0.800 to 1.749	
Dunna et al. 2013 ⁵⁹	90/142	94/251	2.891	1.887 to 4.428	
Majumdar et al. 2008 ³⁹	57/110	34/137	3.258	1.901 to 5.583	
Subtotal	Q= 56.66	I2=89.41 %			
Heterogeneity	df= 6	Z= 3.17	1.26	1.095_1.468	
Africans	(<i>P</i> <0.001)	(<i>P</i> =0.002)			
Nasr et al. 2016 ⁴⁵	24/50	7//50	5.67	2.144 to 14.997	
Boujmia et al. 2016^{23}	68/129	63/129	1.168	0.717 to 1.903	
Swellam et al. 2017 ³⁵	20/88	44/90	0.307	0.161 to 0.588	
Boujmia et al. 2020	91/192	105/210	0.901	0.609 to 1.333	
Subtotal	Q = 25.36	I2=88.7	0.901	0.009 10 1.555	
Heterogeneity	Q-23.30	Z=-0.24	0.94	0.72 1.22	
neurogeneny	(P=0.67)	20.24	0.24	0.73_1.22	
Total (fixed effects)	2928/5619	4780/9652	1.145	1.068 to 1.227	
(random effects)	2928/5619	4780/9652	1.157	1.000 to 1.338	
Test for heterogeneity: $Q = 108.74$; DF = 29; I2 =			1.107	1.000 to 1.000	

Test for heterogeneity: Q =108.74; DF = 29; I2 = 73.33 % P < 0.0001 Z = 3.830 (P < 0.001)

AML cases and 9679 controls were included in the meta-analysis of *GSTM1* polymorphism. As seen in table 7, the results showed a high level of heterogeneity in the overall analysis; hence, the ethnicity-based subgroup analyses which showed that the *GSTM1* polymorphism was associated with risk of AML in East Asians (1.24, 95% CI 1.8–1.43, P=0.02). The funnel plot showed no evidence of publication bias.

Discussion

The GST is a family of enzymes involved in the detoxification of many xenobiotics, through which GST protects cells against the negative effects of carcinogens. However, the polymorphisms in GSTs reduce carcinogens detoxification caused by the decreased enzyme activity. Therefore, polymorphisms in the GST could modulate the susceptibility to developing cancers such as AML. In our previous study, both GSTT1 null and GST double-null genotypes (GSTT1null/GSTM1 null) were associated with an increased risk of AML.²³ The aim of the present case-control study was to evaluate the influence of GSTT1, GSTM1 and Ile105Val GSTP1 polymorphisms on susceptibility to AML. The genotype frequencies of the Ile 105 Val GSTP1 and null genotype of GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms were determined in 210 control individuals.

The frequency of the null genotype of *GSTM1* in our control group was 50.0%, which is consistent with those reported in Caucasian, North Tunisian (50.2%), Japanese (51.3%), Chinese (50.4%), and white American (52%) populations. The frequency of *GSTT1* null genotype was 22.0%, similar to that reported by Garcia-Closas et al. in a Spanish population.

The frequencies of homozygous wild-type (Ile/Ile), heterozygous (Ile/Val), and homozygous (Val/Val) were 0.44, 0.46, and 0.10, respectively, which is in agreement with other African populations (0.45, 0.41, 0.14) and European populations (0.47, 0.43, 0.10), yet quite different from Asiatic (0.61, 0.34, 0.05) and Indian (0.50, 0.44, 0.06) populations. Our results showed that the distribution of *GST* polymorphisms in our

control population is similar to that of African populations, both of which could be grouped into a case-control study large enough to explore the relationship between these polymorphisms and the risk of cancers such as AML.

With respect to FAB classification, the most frequent FAB subtype observed in our study was M2, confirming the findings of our previous study,²³ and in line with other similar studies. No correlation was found between clinical and demographic (age and sex) parameters and GSTT1 and GSTM1 polymorphisms, which is similar to Voso et al. and Banescu et al. who reported no association between the GST genotypes and karyotype or FAB subtypes. However, a positive correlation was found between the GSTP1 distribution and age, consistent with Dunne et al. who reported a positive correlation between sex, age, biological parameters (WBC count and LDH levels) and Val/Val genotype in an Indian population.³² In contrast, Rollinson et al. reported no relationship between the GSTP1 distribution and cytogenetic classification, age and FAB types.33

In the current study, the GSTM1 null genotype did not show any statistically significant association with the risk of AML. Lemos et al. and Swellam et al. reported similar results. However, Seedhouse et al. found a significantly higher risk of AML development in patients with the GSTM1 null genotype.³⁶ Yang et al. also showed a significantly higher frequency of the GSTM1 null genotype in AML patients compared to controls.³⁷ Strikingly, we found that the GSTT1 null genotype was significantly associated with increased risk of AML. Ouerhani et al. found no association between the GSTT1 null genotype and AML risk in Tunisia.³⁸ Swellam et al. and Majumdar et al. reported a similar result, but several studies have reported a positive association between GSTT1 null genotype and AML risk.33, 40, 41

Regarding the effect of Ile105 Val *GSTP1* polymorphism on AML risk, Ile/Val and Val/Val genotypes were slightly higher in patients (52.6%; 10.4%) than in controls (45.7%; 10.0%) in the present research, which also showed that these genotypes were not significantly associated with

the risk of AML. In our study, the combined variant genotypes Ile/Val and Val/Val of Ile105Val were not significantly correlated with AML risk as the Ile/ Ile genotype. These findings are in line with many case-control studies which reported no statistically significant association between this polymorphism and risk of AML.,³⁰ Similarly, in a meta-analysis of 5 studies (852 cases and 2339 controls), He et al. concluded that there was no association between the polymorphism and risk of AML in the homologous contrast, recessive and dominant genetic models. On the contrary, a study from Egypt posited that heterozygous genotype and mutant G allele (AG+GG) was significantly higher among AML patients than controls, respectively.⁴⁵ Banescu et al. and Dunne et al. also observed a significantly higher risk of AML development in patients with homozygous mutant genotype Val/Val. Similarly, Xi et al. showed subjects with Ile/Val and Val/Val genotypes had a 2.214-fold increased risk of developing AML compared to those with the wild genotype Ile/Ile.46

We further analyzed the combined effect of GSTT1, GSTM1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms, and noticed a statistically significant association between the combination of GSTM1, GSTT1 null and Ile/Val+val/val regarding GSTP1 and AML risk. Subjects carrying the GSTM1 Present, GSTT1 null and GSTP1 Ile / Val et Val /Val genotypes had a higher risk of developing AML, partially confirming the hypothesis of the absence of an association between the GSTM1 null genotype and AML. A similar finding was reported by Zhou et al.⁴² The difference between studies concerning the relationship between GST polymorphisms and AML risk could be explained by factors such as sample size, genotyping method, and selection of control groups, and lifestyle, geographic and ethnic differences.

In our meta-analysis, the ethnicity stratification showed a significant association between *GSTM1* null genotype and AML risk in East Asians. Likewise, genotype *GSTT1* null was associated with AML among Caucasians. These results are in agreement with the previous meta-analysis of He et al.⁴⁴ However, the meta-analysis by Das et al. showed that only the *GSTM1* polymorphism was associated with risk of AML.⁴⁰ The subgroup analysis also showed a significant association between the Ile105Val *GSTP1* polymorphism and risk of AML under the contrast model in mixed populations.

There are some limitations in the current metaanalysis: First, the small number of published studies on the relationship between Ile105Val polymorphism and risk of AML might influence the evaluation of this association. Second, some studies included in this meta-analysis might have a sample size insufficient to explore the relationship between this polymorphism and AML risk. Third, the studies published with languages other than English were excluded from this metaanalysis. Fourth, AML remains a multifactorial complex disorder associated with genetic and environmental factors, yet the present study did not include the analysis of environmental factors (such as benzene, pesticides), life-style and genegene interactions. Therefore, the results of this meta-analysis should be treated with caution and further studies are required to confirm our findings.

Conclusion

The present case-control study indicated that the GST gene polymorphisms may be involved in susceptibility to AML in Moroccan adult populations. The meta-analysis suggests that the *GSTM1*-null genotype is a risk factor for AML in East Asians, *GSTT1*-null genotype among Caucasians and *GSTP1* Ile 105 Val polymorphisms in mixed population. Further studies are needed to confirm these results.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the patients and healthy controls that participated in this study.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Tazi MA, Er-Raki A, Benjaafar N. Cancer incidence in Rabat, Morocco: 2006-2008. *Ecancermedicalscience*. 2013;7:338. doi: 10.3332/ecancer. 2013.338.

- Jiang L, Zhou P, Sun A, Zheng J, Liu B, You Y, et al. Functional variant (-1304T>G) in the MKK4 promoter is associated with decreased risk of acute myeloid leukemia in a southern Chinese population. *Cancer Sci.* 2011;102(8):1462-8.
- 3. McKenna SJ. Leukemia. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2000;89(2):137-9.
- Travis LB, Li CY, Zhang ZN, Li DG, Yin SN, Chow WH, et al. Hematopoietic malignancies and related disorders among benzene-exposed workers in China. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 1994;14(1-2):91-102.
- Nakanishi M, Tanaka K, Shintani T, Takahashi T, Kamada N. Chromosomal instability in acute myelocytic leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome patients among atomic bomb survivors. *J Radiat Res.* 1999;40(2):159-67.
- Rothman N, Wacholder S, Caporaso NE, Garcia-Closas M, Buetow K, Fraumeni JF. The use of common genetic polymorphisms to enhance the epidemiologic study of environmental carcinogens. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2001;1471:C1-C10.
- D'alo F, Voso MT, Guidi F, Massini G, Scardocci A, Sica S, et al. Polymorphisms of CYP1A1 and glutathione S-transferase and susceptibility to adult acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2004; 89:664-70.
- Strange RC, Jones PW, Fryer AA. Glutathione Stransferase: genetics and role in toxicology. *Toxicol Lett.* 2000;112:357-63.
- Nebert DW, Vasiliou V. Analysis of the glutathione S-transferase (GST) gene family. Hum Genomics. 2004;1:460-4.
- Bolt HM, Thier R. Relevance of the deletion polymorphisms of the glutathione S-transferases *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* in pharmacology and toxicology. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2006;7:613-28.
- Josephy PD. Genetic variations in human glutathione transferase enzymes: significance for pharmacology and toxicology. *Hum Genomics Proteomics*. 2010;876940.
- 12. Strange RC, Spiteri MA, Ramachandran S, Fryer AA. Glutathione-S-transferase family of enzymes. *Mutat Res.* 2001;482(1-2):21-6.
- Mittal RD, Srivastava DSL, Mandhani A, Kumar A, Mittal B. Polymorphism of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* genes in prostate cancer: A study from North India. *Indian J Cancer*. 2004;41: 115-9
- Sørensen M, Autrup H, Tjønneland A, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O. Glutathione S-transferase T1 null-genotype is associated with an increased risk of lung cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2004;110(2):219-24.
- Masood N, Yasmin A, Kayani MA.Genetic deletions of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* in head and neck cancer: review of the literature from 2000 to 2012. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013;14(6):3535-9.

- Autrup H. Genetic polymorphisms in human xenobiotica metabolizing enzymes as susceptibility factors in toxic response. *Mutat Res.* 2000;464(1):65-76
- 17. Niitsu Y, Takahashi Y, Saito T, Hirata Y, Arisato N, Maruyama H, et al. Serum glutathione-S- transferasepi as a tumor marker for gastrointestinal malignancies. *Cancer*: 1989;63:317-23.
- Kantor RRS, Giardina SL, Bartolazzi A, Townsend AJ, Myers CE, Cowan KL, et al. Monoclonal antibodies to glutathione S-transferase pi-immunohistochemical analysis of human tissues and cancers. *Int J Cancer*. 1991;47:193-201.
- SauerbreyA, ZintlF, VolmM. P-glycoprotein and glutathione S-transferase pi in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Br J Cancer*. 1994;70:1144-9.
- 20 Tew K. Glutathione-associated enzymes in anticancer drug resistance. *Cancer Res.* 1994;54:4313-20.
- 21. Watson MA, Stewart RK, Smith GBJ, Massey TE, Bell DA. Human gluthione S-transferase P1 polymorphisms: relationship to lung tissue enzyme activity and population frequency distribution. *Carcinogenesis.* 1998;19: 275-80.
- 22. Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1988;16:1215.
- Ait Boujmia OK, Nadifi S, Dehbi H, Kassogue Y, Quessar A, Lamchahab M. Association of glutathione S-transferase genes (M1 and T1) with the risk of acute myeloid leukemia in a Moroccan population. *Middle East J Cancer.* 2017;8(1):7-12.
- 24. Harries LW, Stubbins MJ, Forman D, Howard GCW, Wolf CR. Identification of genetic polymorphisms at the glutathione S-transferase pi locus and association with susceptibility to bladder, testicular and prostate cancer. *Carcinogenesis*. 1997;18(4):641-4.
- 25. Salah GB, Kallabi F, Maatoug S, Mkaouar-Rebai E, Fourati A, Fakhfakh F, et al. Polymorphisms of glutation S-transferases M1, T1, P1, and A1 genes in the Tunisian population: An intra and interethnic comparative approach. Gene. 2012; 498: 317-22.
- Sharma A. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione Stransferase P1 (*GSTP1*) in Delhi population and comparison with other global populations. *Meta Gene.* 2014;2: 134-42.
- Allan JM, Wild CP, Rollinson S, Willett EV, Moorman AV, Dovey GJ, et al. Polymorphism in glutathione Stransferase P1 is associated with susceptibility to chemotherapy-induced leukaemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA. 2001;98:11592-7.
- Chauhan PS, Ihsan R, Yadav DS, Mishra AK, Bhushan B, Soni A, et al. Association of glutathione S-transferase, EPHX, and p53 codon 72 gene polymorphisms with adult acute myeloid leukemia. *DNA Cell Biol.* 2011;30:39-46.
- 29. Chauhan PS, Ihsan R, Mishra AK, Yadav DS, Saluja

S, Mittal V. High order interactions of xenobiotic metabolizing genes and P53 codon 72 polymorphisms in acute leukemia. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2012;53:619-30.

- Voso MT, D'Alo' F, Putzulu R, Mele L, Scardocci A, Chiusolo P, et al. Negative prognostic value of glutathione S-transferase (*GSTM1* and *GSTT1*) deletions in adult acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2002;100(8):2703-7.
- 31. Bănescu C, Iancu M, Trifa AP, Cândea M, Benedek Lazar E, Moldovan VG, et al. From six gene polymorphisms of the antioxidant system, only GPX Pro198Leu and GSTP1 Ile105Val modulate the risk of acute myeloid leukemia. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2016;2016:2536705. doi: 10.1155/2016/2536705.
- 32. Dunna NR, Vuree S, Kagita S, Surekha D, Digumarti R, Rajappa S, et al. Association of *GSTP1* gene (I105V) polymorphism with acute leukaemia. *J Genet*. 2012;91(1): 60-3.
- Lemos MC, Cabrita FJ, Silva HA, Vivan M, Plácido F, Regateiro FJ.Genetic polymorphism of CYP2D6,*GSTM1* and NA T2 and susceptibility to haematological neoplasias. *Carcinogenesis*. 1999;20(7):1225-9.
- 35. Swellam M, Mahmoud MS, Mohamed AK. Role of glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 gene polymorphisms in adult acute myeloid leukemia susceptibility. *J Cancer Biol Res.* 2017;5(2):1101.
- 34. Seedhouse C, Faulkner R, Ashraf N, Das-Gupta E, Russell N. Polymorphisms in genes involved in homologous recombination repair interact to increase the risk of developing acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10(8):2675-80.
- Yang L, Zhang Y, Zhang MR, Xiao ZJ. Relationship between *GSTT1*, *GSTM1* and NQO1 gene polymorphism and acute myeloid leukemia and recurrent chromosome translocations. [Article in Chinese] *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi*. 2005;85(33):2312-6.
- Ouerhani S, Nefzi MA, Menif S, Safra I, Douzi K, Fouzai C, et al. Influence of genetic polymorphisms of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes on the risk of developing leukemia in a Tunisian population. *Bull Cancer*: 2011;98(12):95-106.
- 39. Majumdar S, Mondal BC, Ghosh M, Dey S, Mukhopadhyay A, Chandra S, et al. Association of cytochrome P450, glutathione S-transferase and Nacetyl transferase 2 gene polymorphisms with incidence of acute myeloid leukemia. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2008;17(2):125-32.
- 33. Rollinson S, Roddam P, Kane E, Roman E, Cartwright R, Jack A, et al. Polymorphic variation within the glutathione S-transferase genes and risk of adult acute leukaemia. *Carcinogenesis.* 2000; 21:43-7.
- 40. Das P, Shaik AP, Bammidi VK. Meta-analysis study of glutathione-S-transferases (*GSTM1*, *GSTP1*, and *GSTT1*) gene polymorphisms and risk of acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2009;50(8):1345-51.

- 41. Kim HN, Kim NY, Yu L, Tran HT, Kim YK, Lee IK, et al. Association of *GSTT1* polymorphism with acute myeloid leukemia risk is dependent on smoking status. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2012;53(4):681-7.
- 42. Zhou L, Zhu YY, Zhang XD, Li Y, Liu ZG. Risk effects of *GST* gene polymorphisms in patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a prospective study. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013;14:3861-4.
- 43. Zi Y, Wu S, Ma D, Yang C, Yang M, Huang Y, et al. Association of *GST*TI and *GSTM1* variants with acute myeloid leukemia risk. *Genet Mol Res.* 2014;13:3681-5.
- 44. He HR, You HS, Sun JY, Hu SS, Ma Y, Dong YL, et al. Glutathione S-transferase gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to acute myeloid leukemia: meta-analyses. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2014;44:1070-81.
- 45. Nasr AS, Sami RM, Ibrahim NY, Darwish DO. Glutathione S transferase (*GSTP* 1, *GSTM* 1, and *GSTT* 1) gene polymorphisms in Egyptian patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Indian J Cancer*. 2015;52(4):490-5.
- 46. Xi YM, Shi XE, Zhang H, Jia MF, Li M, Li P, et al. Relation of *GSTP1* and CYP2E1 polymorphisms with susceptibility to acute leukemia. [Article in Chinese] *Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi*. 2011;19:589-93.
- 47. Voso MT, Fabiani E, D'Alo' F, Guidi F, Di Ruscio A, Sica S, et al. Increased risk of acute myeloid leukaemia due to polymorphisms in detoxification and DNA repair enzymes. *Ann Oncol.* 2007;18:1523-8.
- 48. uan XJ, Gu LJ, Xue HL, Tang JY, Zhao JC, Chen J, et al. Analysis on *GST*-Pi genetic polymorphism in children with acute leukemia. [Article in Chinese] *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi*. 2003;83:1863–6.
- 48. Aydin-Sayitoglu M, Hatirnaz O, Erensoy N, Ozbek U. Role of CYP2D6, CYP1A1, CYP2E1, *GSTT1*, and *GSTM1* genes in the susceptibility to acute leukemias. *Am J Hematol.* 2006;81:162-70.
- 49. Bolufer P, Collado M, Barragán E, Cervera J, Calasanz MJ, Colomer D, et al. The potential effect of gender in combination with common genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes on the risk of developing acute leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2007;92:308-14.
- Eyada TK, El Ghonemy EG, El Ghoroury EA, El Bassyouni SO, El Masry MK. Study of genetic polymorphism of xenobiotic enzymes in acute leukemia. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis*. 2007;18:489-95.
- Gra OA, Glotov AS, Kozhekbaeva Z, Makarova OV, Nasedkina TV. Genetic polymorphism in *GST*, NA T2, and MTRR and susceptibility to childhood acute leukemia. *Mol Biol (Mosk)*. 2008;42:214-25.
- 52. Haase D, Binder C, Bünger J, Fonatsch C, Streubel B, Schnittger S, et al. Increased risk for therapyassociated hematologic malignancies in patients with carcinoma of the breast and combined homozygous

gene deletions of glutathione transferases M1 and T1. *Leuk Res.* 2002;26:249-54.

- 53. Muller P, Asher N, Heled M, Cohen SB, Risch A, Rund D. Polymorphisms in transporter and phase II metabolism genes as potential modifiers of the predisposition to and treatment outcome of de novo acute myeloid leukemia in Israeli ethnic groups. *Leuk Res.* 2008;32:919-29.
- 54. Naoe T, Takeyama K, Yokozawa T, Kiyoi H, Seto M, Uike N, et al. Analysis of genetic polymorphism in NQO1, GST-M1, GST-T1, and CYP3A4 in 469 Japanese patients with therapy-related leukemia/ myelodysplastic syndrome and de novo acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2000;6:4091-5.
- 55. Sasai Y, Horiike S, Misawa S, Kaneko H, Kobayashi M, Fujii H, et al. Genotype of glutathione S- transferase and other genetic configurations in myelodysplasia. *Leuk Res.* 1999;23:975-81.
- 56. Zou LL, Lin GF, Ma QW, Zhang DS, Shen JH. Glutathione S-transferase T1, M1 gene polymorphism in leukemia patients in Shanghai area. [Article in Chinese] *Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi.* 2004;26:649-51.
- 57. Arruda VR, Lima CS, Grignoli CR, de Melo MB, Lorand-Metze I, Alberto FL, et al. Increased risk for acute myeloidleukaemia in individuals with glutathione S-transferase mu 1 (*GSTM1*) and theta 1 (*GSTT1*) gene defects. *Eur J Haematol.* 2001;66:383-8.
- Crump C, Chen C, Appelbaum FR, Kopecky KJ, Schwartz SM, Willman CL, et al. Glutathione Stransferase theta 1 gene deletion and risk of acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2000;9(5):457-60.
- Dunna NR, Vure S, Sailaja K, Surekha D, Raghunadharao D, Rajappa S, et al. Deletion of *GSTM1* and T1 genes as a risk factor for development of acute leukemia. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013;14:2221-4.
- 60. Bhatla D, Gerbing RB, Alonzo TA, Mehta PA, Deal K, Elliott J, et al. DNA repair polymorphisms and outcome of chemotherapy for acute myelogenous leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Leukemia*. 2008;22(2):265-72.